



## **ALERTS**

## Federal District Court's Permanent Injunction Safeguards International Students

February 11, 2020 | Southeast Michigan | Atlanta | Chicago | Columbus | Dallas | Delaware | Elkhart | Fort Wayne | Grand Rapids | Indianapolis | Los Angeles | Minneapolis | Raleigh | Salt Lake City | San Diego | South Bend | Washington, D.C.

In a decision of tremendous significance to American colleges, universities, and employers and, perhaps most importantly, to international students on F-1 nonimmigrant visas, the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of North Carolina issued a permanent nationwide injunction blocking the application of the federal immigration policy memo, Accrual of Unlawful Presence and F, J, and M Nonimmigrants, as well as a corresponding memo with the same title.

These U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) policy memos provided guidance regarding the calculation of "unlawful presence" of those holding student (F nonimmigrant), exchange visitor (J nonimmigrant) or vocational student (M nonimmigrant) status, and their dependents, while in the United States. The court ruled that the government cannot enforce these memos, avoiding potential harmful consequences for international students and scholars.

The case in question, *Guilford College v. Wolf*, was joined by several prominent U.S. higher education institutions via amicus brief to challenge the USCIS's attempted reinterpretation of a student's unlawful presence to cover instances where a student is not actually aware that a student status violation has occurred. The new policy would have represented a significant departure from existing policy, where a student only accrues unlawful presence – which has significant immigration consequences – upon an actual finding by the USCIS or an immigration judge.

In May 2019, the same district court granted a preliminary nationwide

## **RELATED PEOPLE**



**Tejas Shah**Partner
Chicago

P 312-214-5619 F 312-759-5646 tejas.shah@btlaw.com



M. Mercedes Badia-Tavas

Partner Chicago, Washington, D.C.

P 312-214-8313 F 312-759-5646 mbadiatavas@btlaw.com



Michael E. Durham Partner South Bend, Chicago

P 574-237-1145 F 574-237-1125 mdurham@btlaw.com



Mari Yamamoto Regnier

Partner Chicago

P 312-214-8335 F 312-759-5646 mari.regnier@btlaw.com injunction. The new injunction is permanent and is premised on the agency's failure to follow the Administrative Procedure Act's notice and comment guidelines before enacting the new policy. Notably, the District Court indicated that even if there were procedural compliance, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) would be unable to enact the new policy since the interpretation clearly conflicts with statutory text and Congressional intent.

It remains to be seen whether the administration will appeal this decision and how this nationwide injunction might fare in light of the apparent disfavor for such nationwide injunctions expressed by multiple Supreme Court justices in other recent immigration litigation.

To obtain more information, please contact the Barnes & Thornburg attorney with whom you work, including Tejas Shah at 312-214-5619 or tejas.shah@btlaw.com, Mercedes Badia-Tavas at (312) 214-8313 or mbadiatavas@btlaw.com, or Michael Durham at 574-237-1145 or m.durham@btlaw.com.

© 2020 Barnes & Thornburg LLP. All Rights Reserved. This page, and all information on it, is proprietary and the property of Barnes & Thornburg LLP. It may not be reproduced, in any form, without the express written consent of Barnes & Thornburg LLP.

This Barnes & Thornburg LLP publication should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult your own lawyer on any specific legal questions you may have concerning your situation.



Chihiro Maeda Of Counsel Chicago

P 312-214-2107 F 312-759-5646 chihiro.maeda@btlaw.com

## **RELATED PRACTICE AREAS**

Immigration and Global Mobility Services Immigration Pathfinder Program