



ALERTS

California Supreme Court Ruling To Give More Workers Employee Status

May 1, 2018 | Atlanta | Chicago | Columbus | Dallas | Delaware | Elkhart | Fort Wayne | Grand Rapids | Indianapolis | Los Angeles | Minneapolis | New York | San Diego | South Bend

On April 30, the California Supreme Court issued an opinion effectively expanding the number of workers that will be deemed as employees for purposes of California wage orders, ultimately granting such workers benefits, minimum wage, and overtime compensation, as well as rest and meal breaks.

The court issued a unanimous decision in *Dynamex Operations West Inc. v. The Superior Court of Los Angeles County*, Case No. S222732, a lawsuit in which two delivery drivers, on behalf of themselves and other drivers similarly situated, sued Dynamex Operations West, Inc., a nationwide packaging and document delivery company, alleging that Dynamax had misclassified its delivery drivers as independent contractors. Dynamex originally classified its drivers as employees, but reclassified them as independent contractors in 2004, and was subsequently sued in 2005. Since then, the case has been working its way through the California lower courts.

The court's opinion turned away from the *Borello* multifactor test, which, in determining a worker's status, looked at an employer's control over its workers and has been the standard over the last three decades based on the 1989 California Supreme Court ruling in *S.G. Borello & Sons Inc. v. Department of Industrial Relations*.

The court's ruling in *Dynamex* adopted California Industrial Welfare Commission's definition of employment, which makes an employee anyone whom a business "engage[s], suffer[s] or permit[s]" to work. The opinion held that under this "suffer or permit to work" standard, a court

RELATED PEOPLE

Indianapolis



Kenneth J. Yerkes
Partner

P 317-231-7513 F 317-231-7433 ken.yerkes@btlaw.com



William A. Nolan
Partner

Columbus

P 614-628-1401 F 614-628-1433 bill.nolan@btlaw.com



Mark S. Kittaka

Partner Fort Wayne, Columbus

P 260-425-4616 F 260-424-8316 mark.kittaka@btlaw.com



Robert W. Sikkel
Of Counsel (Retired)

P 616-742-3978 robert.sikkel@btlaw.com



"presumptively considers all workers to be employees, and permits workers to be classified as independent contractors only if the hiring business demonstrates that the worker in question satisfies each of three conditions: (a) that the worker is free from the control and direction of the hirer in connection with the performance of the work, both under the contract for the performance of the work and in fact; and (b) that the worker performs work that is outside the usual course of the hiring entity's business; and (c) that the worker is customarily engaged in an independently established trade, occupation, or business of the same nature as that involved in the work performed." The California Supreme Court further said, "[t]he hiring entity's failure to prove any one of these three prerequisites will be sufficient in itself to establish that the worker is an included employee, rather than an excluded independent contractor, for purposes of the wage order."

This decision is likely to create challenges for California employers as it will undoubtedly increase the number of individuals who should be considered employees, particularly those who work in the "gig economy."

Visit us on Twitter @BTLawLE, and don't forget to bookmark our blogs, Labor Relations and Currents.

© 2018 Barnes & Thornburg LLP. All Rights Reserved. This page, and all information on it, is proprietary and the property of Barnes & Thornburg LLP. It may not be reproduced, in any form, without the express written consent of Barnes & Thornburg LLP.

This Barnes & Thornburg LLP publication should not be construed as legal advice or legal opinion on any specific facts or circumstances. The contents are intended for general informational purposes only, and you are urged to consult your own lawyer on any specific legal questions you may have concerning your situation.

Visit us online at www.btlaw.com and follow us on Twitter @BTLawNews.

John T.L. Koenig

Partner Atlanta

P 404-264-4018 F 404-264-4033 john.koenig@btlaw.com



Peter A. Morse, Jr.

Partner Indianapolis, Washington, D.C.

P 317-231-7794 F 317-231-7433 pete.morse@btlaw.com



Scott J. Witlin Partner

Los Angeles

P 310-284-3777 F 310-284-3894 scott.witlin@btlaw.com



David B. Ritter

Partner Chicago

P 312-214-4862 F 312-759-5646 david.ritter@btlaw.com



Teresa L. Jakubowski

Partner Washington, D.C.

P 202-371-6366 F 202-289-1330 teresa.jakubowski@btlaw.com



Janilyn Brouwer Daub

Partner South Bend, Elkhart

P 574-237-1139 F 574-237-1125 janilyn.daub@btlaw.com

RELATED PRACTICE AREAS

Labor and Employment