1 Armond M. Jackson, SBN 281547 **Jackson APC** Assigned for all Purposes 2 2 Venture Plaza, Ste. 240 Irvine, CA 92618 Judge Glenda Sanders 3 Phone (949) 281-6857 Fax (949) 777-6218 CX-101 4 Attorneys for Plaintiff Maria Gonzalez 5 6 SUPERIOR COURT OF STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE 7 8 MARIA GONZALEZ, as an individual and Case No.: 30-2021-01201814-CU-0E-CXC 9 on behalf of other similarly situated employees, Assigned for all purposes to: 10 **CLASS ACTION CLAIMS** 11 Plaintiff, VS. **COMPLAINT FOR:** 12 FISHER PRINTING, INC. an Illinois 1. CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO 13 PROVIDE MEAL BREAKS (IWC corporation, **WAGE ORDERS 1, 4, 7, 9** 14 **SECTION 11)** Defendants. 15 2. CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO PROVIDE REST PERIODS (IWC 16 **WAGE ORDERS 1, 4, 7, 9 SECTION 12)** 17 3. CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO 18 PROVIDE AND MAINTAIN **ACCURATE ITEMIZED WAGE** 19 STATEMENTS AND MAINTAIN RECORDS (LAB. CODE § 226(a)) 20 4. CLAIM FOR FAILURE TO PAY 21 FOR NECESSARY EXPENSES (CAL. LAB. CODE § 2802) 22 5. UNLAWFUL BUSINESS 23 PRACTICES UNDER (BUS. AND **PROF. CODE, § 17200, ET SEQ.)** 24 25 [JURY DEMAND] 26 27 28

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT—1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff Maria Gonzalez ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Gonzalez") makes the following allegations against Defendant Fisher Printing, Inc. ("FPI") (collectively referred to herein as "Defendants"):

**INTRODUCTION** 

- 1. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of all non-exempt employees (collectively referred to herein as "Class Members" or "Subclass Members") employed by Defendants that worked at Defendants, and, DOES 1-50 in California from four years from the date of filing this Complaint through the date of certification in this action.
- 2. Defendants violated California law by preventing Class Members and Subclass Members from providing lawful meal breaks, rest breaks, accurate itemized wage statements, failure to pay business expenses and wages upon termination.
- 3. In this action, Plaintiff, on behalf of themselves and all Class Members and Subclass Members seeks premium wages for missed meal breaks, rest breaks, inaccurate itemized wage statements, failure to pay business expenses and failure to pay wages upon termination. Statutory penalties, restitution, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs, prejudgment interest and other relief under California Industrial Welfare Commission (I.W.C.) Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001, 8 Cal. Code of Reg. section 11050 ("Wage Order Nos. 1, 4,
- 7, 9-2001"), and California Labor Code (Labor Code") sections 226(a) 1-2, 5, 8, 9, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 515, 558, 1194, 1198, 2699.5, California Code of Civil Procedure section 18
- 1021.5, California Business and Professions Code sections 17200 et seq. ("UCL"), and 19
- California common law. 20
  - 4. The "Class Period" is designated as the time from four years from the date of filing tis Complaint through the date of certification in this action through the trial of this action based upon the allegation that the violations of the Labor Code and UCL, as described more fully below, have been ongoing since at least four years prior to the date of the instant Complaint in this action and are continuing.
  - 5. During the Class Period, Defendants had a consistent policy and/or practice of failing to provide; (1) adequate off-duty meal periods at least one half hour every five hours worked; (2) failing to provide Class Members and Subclass Members with adequate on-duty rest periods of

- ten minutes every four hours worked or a majority fraction thereof; (3) knowingly and
- 2 | intentionally failing to furnish timely itemized statements accurately showing the correct
- applicable hourly rate, accurate total hours worked, accurate gross and net wages earned or
- 4 | hourly rate paid to Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members; (4) pay all hours worked;
- 5 (5) failure to pay business expenses; and (6) failing to pay all wages upon termination.
- 6 | 6. During the Class Period, Defendants failed to provide Class Members and Subclass
- 7 Members with an uninterrupted off-duty thirty (30) minute meal break for each five hours a
- 8 day worked as required by Labor Code sections section 226.7, Wage Order No. 4-2001, 7-
- 9 | 2001, 9-2001.
- During the Class Period, Defendants have failed to provide Class Members and
- Subclass Members with an uninterrupted paid ten (10) minute rest break for each four (4)
- 12 hours or major fraction thereof worked per day as required by Labor Code section 226.7, Wage
- 13 Order No. 4-2001, 7-2001, 9-2001.
- 14 | 8. During the Class Period, Defendants have failed to provide Class Members and
- 15 | Subclass Members with accurate itemized wage statements as required by Labor Code section
- 16 | 226 (a) and 226.3.
- 17 | 9. During the Class Period, Defendants have failed to provide Class Members and
- 18 | Subclass Members with all reimbursements for incurring business expenses on behalf of
- 19 Defendant as required by Labor Code section 2802.
- 20 | 10. Accordingly, Defendants violated UCL, with the violations of the California wage and
- 21 hour laws described above.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

## Parties and Venue.

- 24 11. Plaintiff is, and at all relevant times was, a citizen and resident of the city of Santa Ana,
- in the County of Orange in the State of California.
- 26 | 12. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that at all relevant times
- 27 Defendant Fisher Printing, Inc. ("FPI") is a Illinois corporation doing business in the State of

22

- California with a principal place of business located at 1157 North Pacific Street, Orange,
- 2 | California 92865.
- 3 | 13. In light of the facts that the wrongful acts of this defendant occurred and the causes
- 4 | against them arose in Orange County, State of California, jurisdiction and venue is proper in
- 5 Orange County Superior Court.

## 6 **Doe Allegations**.

- 7 | 14. Plaintiff does not presently know the true names and capacities of defendant named as
- 8 Doe 1 through Doe 50, inclusive. Plaintiff will amend this complaint, setting forth the true
- 9 | names and capacities of these fictitious defendants, when they are ascertained. Plaintiff are
- informed and believes and on that basis alleges that each of the fictitious defendants have
- participated in the acts alleged in this complaint to have been done by the named defendants.

## Vicarious Liability.

12

13

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 15. Unless otherwise indicated, each defendant herein sued is the agent, co-conspirator, joint
- venturer, partner, and/or employee of every other defendant and, as alleged, has been acting
- within the course and scope of said agency, conspiracy, joint venture, partnership, and/or
- 16 employment, with the knowledge and/or consent of co-defendants, and each of them. Plaintiff is
- informed and believes and thereon alleges that each defendant has authorized and/or ratified the
- wrongful activities of each of the remaining defendants.

## COMMON ALLEGATIONS TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 16. Plaintiff worked as non-exempt employee at Defendants. Defendants are in a business that manufactures and distributes paper, printing and newspapers products.
- 17. Plaintiff worked for Defendants. Plaintiff worked as a general laborer that performed many duties such as working in the production line getting newspapers and putting them on pallets and servicing customers for Defendants.

## **Defendants Did Not Provide All Meal Breaks and Rest Breaks**

18. Typically, Plaintiff would work above 6-hour work shifts at Defendants. During these work shifts, Plaintiff would be required to do many work duty tasks revolved around the warehouse and manufacturing tasks required by Defendant. During these shifts it would be very

busy where Plaintiff would not be able or permitted to take all of her entitled uninterrupted 10-minute rest breaks each four hours or a 30 minute uninterrupted meal break every five hours at a reasonably practicable time during their shifts when they worked more than a six, ten and twelve hour shifts. Moreover, Defendants had a policy, pattern or practice of requiring or pressuring Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members to take late, short, interrupted, or no 10-minute rest breaks and/or 30-minute meal breaks at a reasonably practicable time and failed to provide timely 30-minute uninterrupted meal breaks and a third 10-minute uninterrupted rest break for shifts above 10 and 12 hours. Defendants would not compensate Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members for all of their missed, short, late or interrupted, meal breaks or rest periods throughout their employment nor were they paid all of premium wages for the missed, short, late or interrupted, meal breaks and rest periods that should have been paid.

19. Plaintiff is informed and on that basis believes that all other Class Members and Subclass Members and similarly situated employees of Defendants were under the same circumstances and conditions of employment as them. Specifically, all other similarly situated employees would not be able or permitted to take all of their entitled uninterrupted 10-minute rest breaks each four hours or a 30-minute uninterrupted meal break every five hours at a reasonably practicable time during their shifts when they worked more than a six hour shifts. Moreover, Defendants had a policy, pattern or practice of requiring or pressuring its employees to take late, short, interrupted or no 10-minute rest breaks and/or 30-minute meal breaks at a reasonably practicable time and Defendants failed to provide timely 30-minute uninterrupted meal breaks and a third 10-minute uninterrupted rest break for shifts above 10 and 12 hours. Defendants would not compensate them for all of their missed, short, late or interrupted, meal breaks or rest periods throughout their employment nor were they paid all of premium wages for the missed, short, late or interrupted, meal breaks and rest periods that should have been paid.

# **Defendants Provided Inaccurate Wage Statements**

2.1

20. Furthermore, throughout Plaintiff's employment, its paystubs did not accurately reflect their applicable total hours worked, net wages earned, gross wages earned, and actual applicable wage rate all in violation of California Labor Code Section 226(a), specifically sections 1--9.

21. Plaintiff is informed and on that basis believes that all other similarly situated employees of Defendants were under the same circumstances and conditions of employment as them. Specifically, all other similarly situated employees were not provided with accurate itemized wages statements and received paystubs that did not reflect their applicable total hours worked, gross wages earned, net wages earned, depict deductions, and actual applicable wage rates corresponding with the total hours worked by virtue of excluding hourly rate and hours worth of work on their paystubs or the actual applicable wage rate corresponding with the total hours worked.

# **Defendants Did Not Reimburse Plaintiff and Employees for Necessary Business Expenses**

Defendants pressured, encouraged and required Plaintiff, Class Members, Subclass Members and similarly situated employees to purchase masks to prevent transmission from COVID-19 to perform their jobs. Defendant did not reimburse for mandating, pressuring, encouraging and requiring its workers to purchase their own COVID-19 face masks for work purposes.

## **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

23. This action is maintainable as a representative action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 as to violations of Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001 and UCL unpaid overtime, failure to pay upon termination, meal and rest break violations, failure to furnish timely, itemized wage statements, and attorneys' fees and costs. Plaintiff is a representatives of other Class Members and Subclass Members and is acting on behalf of their interests. The similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable and locatable

2.1

1	through Defendants own employment records. The Class that Plaintiff seeks to represent is
2	defined as follows:
3	
4	All individuals in California who worked for Defendants as nonexempt employees at any time from four years of the date of the filing of this Complaint
5	through the date of certification.
6	The Subclass 1 that Plaintiff scales to represent is defined as follows:
7	The Subclass 1 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:
8	All individuals in California who worked for Defendants as nonexempt employees at any time from three years of the date of the filing of this Complaint
9	through the date of certification.
10	The Subclass 2 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:
11	All individuals in California who worked for Defendants as nonexempt
12	employees at any time from one year of the date of the filing of this Complaint through the date of certification.
13	unough the date of certification.
14 15	The Subclass 3 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:
16	All nonexempt Class Members in California who worked for Defendants in which
17	they were entitled to a legally compliant meal breaks pursuant to Cal. Lab Code section 226.7, 512 and not paid premium wages for missed, short, late or not
18	taken meal breaks from four years of the date of the filing of this Complaint through the date of certification.
19	
20	The Subclass 4 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:
21	All nonexempt Class Members in California who worked for Defendants in which
22	they were entitled to a legally compliant meal breaks pursuant to Cal. Lab Code section 226.7, 512 and not paid premium wages for missed, short, late or not
23	taken meal breaks from three years of the date of the filing of this Complaint through the date of certification.
24	
25	The Subclass 5 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:
26	All nonexempt Class Members in California who worked for Defendants in which they were entitled to a legally compliant rest breaks pursuant to Cal. Lab Code
27	section 226.7, 512 and not paid premium wages for missed, short, late or not
28	taken rest breaks from four years of the date of the filing of this Complaint through the date of certification.

2.1

The Subclass 6 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

All nonexempt Class Members in California who worked for Defendants in which they were entitled to a legally compliant rest breaks pursuant to Cal. Lab Code section 226.7, 512 and not paid premium wages for missed, short, late or not taken rest breaks from three years of the date of the filing of this Complaint through the date of certification.

The Subclass 7 that Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

All exempt and nonexempt Class Members in California who worked for Defendants in which they were entitled to a legally compliant wage statements pursuant to Cal. Lab Code section 226(a) one year of the date of the filing of this Complaint through the date of certification.

The Subclass 8 the Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

All persons who were employed by Defendant in California as nonexempt employees at any time from four years year prior to the date of filing of this action through the date of signed order certifying the class and subjected to policies. procedures or practices of having to purchase face masks and used for work purposes without reimbursement.

The Subclass 9 the Plaintiff seeks to represent is defined as follows:

All persons who were employed by Defendant in California as nonexempt employees at any time from three years year prior to the date of filing of this action through the date of signed order certifying the class and subjected to policies. procedures or practices of having to purchase face masks and used for work purposes without reimbursement.

- 24. The individuals included within the alleged Class and Subclass are over one hundred (100) and is so numerous that joinder of each of them would be impracticable, and the disposition of their claims in a class action, rather than in numerous individual actions, will benefit the parties, the Court, and the interests of justice. The Subclasses 1 through Subclasses 9 shall be collectively referred to herein as "Subclass".
- 25. Among the proposed Class and Subclass there is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and/or fact involved, affecting the Class Members and Subclass Members, These common questions include, but are not limited to:

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT—9

a. Whether Defendants failure to provide meal periods to Class Members and Subclass

- 31. Defendants were required to pay the Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Member employees one (1) hour of pay at their regular rate of compensation for each workday that the legally compliant meal breaks are not provided, pursuant to California labor Code sections 226,7, and 512, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001 Section 11(B). It failed to do so. Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members are entitled to civil penalties and
- 32. As a direct result of Defendants violations alleged herein, Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members have suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, including lost interest on such monies and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel Defendants to fully perform its obligation under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts according to proof at trial and within the jurisdictional limitations of this Court.
- 33. As a result of the violations alleged herein, Plaintiff seeks all civil penalties and wages available pursuant to the Labor Code, Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9, and an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. The exact amount of the applicable penalties is an amount to be shown according to proof at trial.

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Claim for Failure to Provide Rest Periods – Lab. Code 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9, Section 12 by Plaintiff, Class and Subclass Against All Defendants and DOES 1-50)

- 34. Plaintiff hereby incorporate all of the allegations set forth in each of the paragraphs above and below by reference as though set forth in full in this cause of action.
- 35. Plaintiff bring this claim on behalf of himself, the Class Members and Subclass Members.
- 36. Defendants were required to authorize and permit employees to take uninterrupted rest periods pursuant to Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001 Section 12(A) and California Labor Code section 226.7. It failed to do so by not allowing such

owed wages as a consequence of this failure.

- 37. Defendants were required to pay the employees one (1) hour of pay at their regular rate of compensation for each workday that the legally compliant rest period is not provided, pursuant to
- 5 Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001 Section 12(B). Defendants
- failed to do so by not allowing such interrupted rest periods. Plaintiff are entitled to civil
- 7 penalties as a consequence of this failure.

to proof at trial.

1

2

3

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

- As a direct result of Defendants violations alleged herein, Plaintiff, Class Members, and Subclass Members have suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses related to the use and enjoyment of such wages, including lost interest on such monies and expenses and attorney's fees in seeking to compel Defendants to fully perform its obligation under state law, all to their respective damage in amounts according to proof at trial and within the jurisdictional limitations of this Court. The exact amount of the applicable penalties is an amount to be shown according
  - 39. As a result of the violations alleged herein, Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members seek all civil penalties and owed wages available pursuant to the Labor Code and Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9, and an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

## **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Provide Accurate Wage Statements in Violation of Labor Code § 226(a) by Plaintiff, Class and Subclass Against All Defendants and DOES 1-50)

- 40. Plaintiff hereby incorporate all of the allegations set forth in each of the paragraphs above and below by reference as though set forth in full in this cause of action.
- 41. Plaintiff bring this claim on behalf of themselves, and the Class Members and Subclass Members.
- 42. Labor Code section 226(a) requires An employer, semimonthly or at the time of each payment of wages, shall furnish to his or her employee, either as a detachable part of the check, draft, or voucher paying the employee's wages, or separately if wages are paid by personal check or cash, an accurate itemized statement in writing showing (1) gross wages earned, (2) total hours worked by the employee, except as provided in subdivision (j), (3) the number of piece-

- 43. Defendants knowingly and intentionally failed to provide Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members with timely and accurate wage and hour statements showing gross wages earned, total hours worked, all deductions made, net wages earned, premium wages, all applicable hourly rates in effect during each pay period, and the corresponding number of hours worked at each hourly rate by them and Class Members and Subclass Members.
- 44. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass suffered injury as a result of Defendants knowing and intentional failure to provide them with accurate the wage and hour statements required by law, which injury shall exceed the jurisdictional minimums of the superior court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

26

3

4

5

7

6

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23 24

25

27

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Claim For Failure to Reimburse Plaintiff for All Necessary Expenses Per Lab. Code §§ 2802, 2804 Plaintiff, Class and Subclass Against All)

- 45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates all of the allegations set for in each of the paragraphs above and below by reference as though set forth in full in this cause of action.
- 46. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of itself, the Class Members and Subclass Members.
- 47. Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members were non-exempt employees of Defendant. In the course of their employment, Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members were not reimbursed for expenses arising in the course and scope of their services. These expenses included, but not limited to, use of face masks,
- 48. Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members were not exempt employees and entitled to the protections of IWC Order 4-2001, (Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations § 11090), and California Labor Code § 200, 500, 1194, 1197, and 1198).
- 49. At all times herein mentioned Plaintiff, Class and Subclass were subject to Labor Code § 2802 which states that "an employer shall indemnify his or her employees for all necessary expenditures or losses incurred by the employee indirect consequence of the discharge of his duties, or of his obedience to the directions of the employer."
- 50. At all times herein mentioned Plaintiff, Class and Subclass were subject to Labor Code § 2804 which states that "any contract or agreement, express or implied, made by any employee to waive the benefits of this article or any part thereof, is null and void, and this article shall not deprive any employee or his personal representative of any right or remedy to which he is entitled under the laws of this State."
- 51. As a proximate result of Defendant's policies in violation of Labor Code §§ 2802 and 2804, Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members suffered damages in sums, which will be shown according to proof to be in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 52. Plaintiff is entitled to expenses, attorney's fees. Interest, and costs of suit pursuant to 26 Labor Code § 2802(c) for bringing this action.
  - 53. Pursuant to Labor Code § 2802(b), in any action brought for the reimbursement of necessary expenditures under this section shall carry interest at the same rate as judgment in civil

54. Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members are entitled to reimbursement for expenses including, but not limited to, reimbursement for purchasing face masks, attorney's fees, interest, and costs of suit pursuant to Labor code § 2802 for bringing this action.

## **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(For Unlawful Business Practices-Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200 et seq.) By Plaintiff, Class and Subclass Against All Defendants and DOES 1-50)

- 55. Plaintiff hereby incorporate all of the allegations set forth in each of the paragraphs above and below by reference as though set forth in full in this cause of action.
- 56. Plaintiff bring this claim on behalf of themselves, Class Members and Subclass Members.
- 57. Business & Professions Code section 17200 states "As used in this chapter, unfair competition shall mean and include any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising and any act prohibited by Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 17500) of Part 3 of Division 7 of the Business and Professions Code."
- 58. Through Defendants conduct during the applicable statutory period including, but not limited to, the conduct alleged herein, including that alleged on information and belief, the defendant has engaged in business practices in California by practicing, employing, and utilizing, the employment practices outlined in the preceding paragraphs all in violation of California law and the applicable Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Order. Defendants use of such practices constitutes an unfair business practice, unfair competition, and provides as unfair advantage over Defendants competitors doing business in the State of California that comply with their obligations to properly provide employment conditions in compliance with the law and pay employees for all earned wages and compensation as required by law.
- 59. Defendants violations of the California Civil Code, Labor Code and the applicable Wage Order and their scheme to lower payroll costs as alleged herein constitute unlawful business practices because these actions were done in a systematic manner over a period of time to the detriment of Plaintiff, Class and Subclass. The acts complained of herein occurred within the last

- 60. As a result of Defendants unfair competition as alleged herein, Plaintiff, Class and Subclass have suffered injury in fact and lost money or property. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass have been deprived of the rights to accurate and itemized wage statements, wages and benefits due including those as alleged herein.
- 61. Pursuant to California Business & Professions Code section 17203, Plaintiff, Class and Subclass are entitled to seek restitution of all wages and other monies owed on behalf of themselves and Aggrieved Employees belonging to them, including interest thereon, which Defendants wrongfully withheld from them and retained for itself by means of its unlawful and unfair business practices.
- 62. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass are entitled to an injunction and other declaratory and equitable relief against such practices to prevent future damage for which there is no adequate remedy at law, and to avoid a multiplicity of lawsuits.
- 63. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the illegal conduct alleged herein is continuing and there is no indication that Defendants will not continue such activity into the future. Plaintiff alleges that if Defendants are not enjoined from the conduct set

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

forth in this Complaint, they will continue to fail to pay the wage and compensation required to be paid and will fail to comply with other requirements of the Labor Code and Wage Order.

- 64. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants conduct, Defendants have received and will continue to receive monies that rightfully belong to members of the general public who have been adversely affected by Defendants conduct, as well as to Plaintiff by virtue of any unpaid wages and other monies or penalties associated therewith.
- 65. Plaintiff, Class and Subclass are entitled and seeks any and all available remedies including but not limited to restitution and recovery of reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq., the substantial benefit doctrine, and/or the common fund doctrine.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays individually and on behalf of the proposed Class and Subclass, prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- A. Certification of Plaintiff's claims as a class action pursuant to Cal. Code of Civ. Pro.
   Section 382, on behalf of the proposed class;
- B. Class notice to all Class Members and Subclass Members in California who worked for Defendants from four years prior to the filing of the original Complaint through the trial of this action;
- C. Plaintiff be appointed as the representative of the Class and Subclass;
- D. Counsel for Plaintiff be appointed as counsel for the Class and Subclass;
- E. The Court declare Defendants policies and/or practices of failing to provide meal periods violates California Labor Code section 226.7, and 512 and Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001 Section 11(A) by failing to provide the Class Members, Subclass Members and Aggrieved Employees with meal periods of at least one-half hour in which they were relieved of all duties for every five hours of work;
- F. The Court declare Defendants policies and/or practices of failing to provide rest periods violates California Labor Code section 226.7 and Wage Order Nos. 1, 4, 7, 9-2001 Section 12(A) by failing to provide the Class Members, Subclass Members and

## **Third Cause of Action:**

- 2 7. For an award for the Plaintiff, Class Members and Subclass Members for penalties pursuant
- 3 to Labor Code section 226.
- 4 | 8. For penalties to recover the greater of actual damages of fifty dollars (\$50) for the
- 5 initial pay period in which a violation occurs and one hundred dollars (\$100) per employee for
- each subsequent violation pursuant to Labor Code section 226(e). The exact amount of the
- 7 | applicable damages is an amount to be shown according to proof at trial and within the
- 8 | jurisdictional limits of the Court.
- 9 9. For costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to Labor Code section 226(e).

10

11

1

## **Fourth Causes of Action:**

- 12 10. For an award of damages to Plaintiff and the Class Members and Subclass Members
- pursuant to Labor Code section 2802 et seq.
- 14 11. The exact amount of the applicable damages is an amount to be shown according to proof at
- 15 | trial and within the jurisdictional limits of the Court.
- 16 12. An award to Class and Subclass Representative Plaintiff, and the Class Members and
- 17 | Subclass Members of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to California Civil
- 18 Procedure Code section 1021.5, California 2802 et seq., and/or other applicable law.

19

20

## **Fifth Cause of Action:**

- 21 | 15. For a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and a permanent injunction
- 22 | enjoining Defendants and its agents, servants and employees, and all persons acting under, in
- 23 concert with, or for Defendants from engaging in the unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent acts and
- business practices described in Paragraphs through 63 above;
- 25 16. For restitution, lost wages and penalties therewith;
- 26 | 17. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest to the extent permitted by law;
- 27 | 18. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs incurred in the investigation, filing and prosecution

1	of this action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1021.5, Business and Professions Code
2	sections 17200, et seq., Labor Code section 1194 and any other applicable provision of law; and
3	19. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.
4	
5	As to All Causes of Action:
6	20. For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred
7	21. Interest accrued to date under the California Labor Code, including under Sections 226.7;
8	22. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
9	Dated: May 20, 2021 Jackson Law, APC
10	
11	By:/s/ Armond M. Jackson Armond M. Jackson
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Maria Gonzalez
13	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
14	Plaintiff Maria Gonzalez demands a jury trial in the above captioned matter.
15	Dated: May 20, 2021 Jackson Law, APC
16	
17	By: /s/ Armond M. Jackson Armond M. Jackson
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff Maria Gonzalez
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	