# **ORYGINAL**

FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO
SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT Ben Rothman, Esq. (SBN 265472) 1 LAW OFFICE OF BEN ROTHMAN 12575 Beatrice St. 2 Los Angeles, CA 90066 APR 0 2 2021 (310) 717-0539 Tel: 3 Fax: (310) 919-3777 e-mail: ben@santamonicainjurylawyer.com 4 Attorney for Plaintiff, 5 ARYAŃ ALBA 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO** 10 CIV SB 2108545 11 ARYAN ALBA, Case No.: 12 Plaintiff, **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 13 14 1. Wrongful Termination in Violation of v. Labor Code Section 98.6 15 COCK-A-DOODLE RESTAURANT, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION; and DOES 1 2. Wrongful Termination in Violation of 16 Labor Code Section 1102.5(b) to 50, inclusive. 17 3. Wrongful Termination in Violation of Defendants. 18 Labor Code Section 6310(a)(1) 19 4. Wrongful Termination in Violation of **Public Policy** 20 21 5. Failure to Provide Meal Periods 22 6. Failure to Provide Rest Periods 23 7. Failure to Pay Minimum Wage 24 8. Failure to Pay Overtime Wages 25 9. Failure to Provide Accurate Pay Stubs 26 27 [Continued on Next Page.] 28

) 10. Failure to Pay Final Wages ) 11. Failure to Produce Payroll Records ) 12. Unfair Business Practices 

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

28 ///

///

#### THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Aryan Alba is, and at all times relevant herein was, citizen of the State of California and an individual residing in the County of San Bernardino, State of California.
- 2. Defendant Cock-a-Doodle Restaurant, Inc., a California Corporation (hereinafter at times "Cock-a-Doodle") is, and all times relevant herein was, a corporation incorporated in the State of California and doing business in the County of San Bernardino, State of California.
- 3. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of defendants Does 1 to 50 and therefore sues them by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities once Plaintiff ascertains them.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant herein, Does 1 to 50 were the agents, employees, servants, partners, joint venturers, affiliates, parents, sisters, or subsidiaries of the other defendants.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that at all times relevant herein, Does 1 to 50 acted within the course and scope of their agency, employment, service, partnership, joint venture, affiliation, or other relationship with the other defendants.
- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Does 1 to 50 are liable for the torts, wage and hour violations, unlawful employment practices, and other wrongs that Plaintiff alleges herein and that such defendants proximately caused Plaintiff's damages.

#### **VENUE**

7. Venue in the County of San Bernardino is proper because at least one defendant resides there.

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

8. Cock-a-Doodle operated a restaurant and bar by the same name in the City of Chino at all times herein. Cock-a-Doodle's CEO, Patricia Costa, hired Plaintiff to work as a server in or around April 2018. Ms. Costa later employed her as a bartender. Ms. Costa employed her full-time and purported to pay her minimum wage.

## Cock-a-Doodle Commits Wage and Hour Violations

- 9. Cock-a-Doodle failed to provide Plaintiff meal periods for at least 30 minutes by the end of the fifth and tenth hours of work and rest periods for at least 10 minutes every four hours or major fraction thereof. Cock-a-Doodle required her to skip, delay, or work during meal and rest periods.
- 10. Cock-a-Doodle failed to pay Plaintiff at least the applicable California minimum wage for on-duty meal periods. Ms. Costa required her to clock out and continue working during what should have been meal periods of at least 30 minutes. Ms. Costa failed to count such time as work and failed to pay her the applicable California minimum wage for such time.
- 11. Cock-a-Doodle failed to pay Plaintiff at least the applicable California minimum wage for postliminary work. Ms. Costa required her to clock out for the day and continue working for approximately two hours per day, three to four times per week. Ms. Costa failed to count such time as work and failed to pay her the applicable California minimum wage for such time.
- 12. Cock-a-Doodle failed to pay Plaintiff one and one-half times her regular rate of pay for all work in excess of eight hours in one workday. Ms. Costa's failure to count Plaintiff's off-the-clock work as compensable time caused Cock-a-Doodle to begin paying her the applicable overtime rates later than it should have paid them or at all.
- 13. Cock-a-Doodle failed, either semimonthly or each time they paid Plaintiff's wages, to furnish her with wage statements that completely and accurately showed the total number of off-the-clock hours that she worked during each pay period. She could not promptly and readily determine such information from the wage statements alone.
- 14. Cock-a-Doodle's failure to provide Plaintiff with wage statements that completely and accurately showed the total number of off-the-clock hours that she worked during each pay period was knowing and intentional. In point of fact, Ms. Costa told her to clock-out for on-duty meal periods and postliminary work.

#### Cock-a-Doodle Fires Plaintiff

15. The Director of the California Department of Public Health ("CDPH") tried to stop the spread of COVID-19 by ordering all Californians to wear masks inside any indoor public

space on June 18, 2020 and by ordering indoor dining in San Bernardino County restaurants to cease for 20 days starting July 2, 2020. Cock-a-Doodle defied the CDPH Director's health orders.

- 16. Plaintiff exercised her rights under Labor Code sections 6310(a)(1) and 1102.5(b) by informing Ms. Costa on or around July 5, 2020 that Cock-a-Doodle guests did not wear masks, that COVID-19 was getting worse, and that she did not feel safe working at Cock-a-Doodle. She asked Ms. Costa to let her know when Cock-a-Doodle would follow the law.
- 17. Plaintiff had reasonable cause to believe that such information disclosed violations of Labor Code sections 6401, 6403(c), and 6406(d) and violations of, or noncompliance with, the CDPH Director's orders requiring individuals to wear masks inside public places and requiring restaurants to cease indoor dining operations in San Bernardino County.
- 18. Plaintiff exercised her rights under Labor Code sections 6310(a)(1) and 1102.5(b) by informing the San Bernardino County Department of Public Health ("SBCDPH") in or around July 2020 that Cock-a-Doodle continued indoor operations and continued to let guests occupy the restaurant without masks. SBCDPH inspected Cock-a-Doodle on or around July 8, 2020.
- 19. Ms. Costa got so mad that she told Plaintiff to hand in her keys to the restaurant and fired her on or around July 21, 2020. Plaintiff's exercise of her rights under Labor Code sections 1102.5(b) and 6310(a)(1) were substantial motivating reasons for Ms. Costa's termination of Plaintiff's employment.
- 20. In the process of firing Plaintiff, Ms. Costa was personally guilty of: (1) malice in that she intended to harm Plaintiff; and (2) oppression in that she acted despicably and subjected her to the cruel and unjust hardship of unemployment, in conscious disregard of her rights under Labor Code sections 98.6, 1102.5(b), and 6310(a)(1).

#### Cock-a-Doodle Fails to Pay Final Wages and Produce Payroll Records

21. Plaintiff's earned but unpaid wages for on-duty meal periods, postliminary work, and overtime came due and payable immediately upon termination on or around July 21, 2020. Cock-a-Doodle willfully failed to pay her all such final wages immediately upon termination, within 30 days thereof, or at any other time.

|   | 4 |
|---|---|
|   | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
|   | 0 |
|   | 1 |
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
| 2 |   |
| 2 |   |
| 2 |   |
| 2 | 8 |

2

22. Plaintiff, through counsel, mailed Cock-a-Doodle a request for her complete payroll records on or around December 16, 2021. Cock-a-Doodle received it on or around December 23, 2021. Cock-a-Doodle failed to produce any pre-June 14, 2018 pay stubs or time cards within 21 calendar days of the request.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Wrongful Termination in Violation of Labor Code Section 98.6 (Against All Defendants)

- 23. Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.
  - 24. Plaintiff exercised her rights under the Labor Code as follows:
    - a. Plaintiff exercised her rights under Labor Code section 1102.5(b) by disclosing to Ms. Costa and the SBCDPH information that Plaintiff had reasonable cause to believe disclosed violations of Labor Code sections 6400(a), 6401, and 6406(d) and the CDPH Director's Orders.
    - b. Plaintiff exercised her rights under Labor Code section 6310(a)(1) by complaining in good faith to Ms. Costa and the SBCDPH that Cock-a-Doodle unlawfully continued to permit indoor operations and unlawfully continued to let customers occupy the restaurant without masks.
- 25. Plaintiff's exercise of such rights under the Labor Code was a substantial motivating reason for Defendants' termination of her employment.
- 26. Defendants' termination of Plaintiff's employment was a substantial factor in causing actual harm.

#### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# Wrongful Termination in Violation of Labor Code Section 1102.5(b) (Against All Defendants)

27. Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

///

Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

|   | 1 |
|---|---|
|   |   |
| , | ) |

4

# 5

# 7

6

# 8

# 10

# 11

## 12

## 13

# 14

# 15

# 1617

## 18

# 19

# 2021

# 22

# 23

# 24

# 2526

# 27

## 28

///

#### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### Failure to Provide Rest Periods - Lab. Code §226.7

### (Against All Defendants)

- 47. Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 48. Defendants employed Plaintiff by engaging her to work, suffering and permitting her to work, and/or exercising control over her wages, hours, and/or working conditions.
- 49. Defendants failed to relieve Plaintiff of all duty for rest periods of not less than 10 minutes every four hours of work or major fraction thereof.
- 50. Wherefore, Plaintiff seeks an additional hour of pay at her regular rate of pay for each workday in which Defendants failed to provide a rest period.

### **SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# Failure to Pay Minimum Wage – Lab. Code §§1194 & 1194.2

### (Against All Defendants)

- 51. Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Defendants employed Plaintiff by engaging her to work, suffering and permitting her to work, and/or exercising control over her wages, hours, and/or working conditions.
- 53. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiff the applicable California minimum wage rates for all hours of work.
- 54. Wherefore, Plaintiff seeks all unpaid minimum wage compensation (plus interest) and liquidated damages equal thereto, plus reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### Failure to Pay Overtime Wages - Lab. Code §1194

### (Against All Defendants)

55. Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations as though fully set forth herein.

Plaintiff re-states and incorporates by reference each of the foregoing allegations

66.

as though fully set forth herein.

27

28

| 1   | d.   | Defendants violated Labor Code sections 226.7(c) and Wage Order 5,            |  |  |
|-----|--|---|--|--|
| 2   |  | section 12(B) by failing to pay rest period premiums.                         |  |  |
| 3   | e.   | Defendants violated Labor Code sections 1182.12 and 1197 and Wage             |  |  |
| 4   |  | Order 5, section 4(A) by failing to pay the minimum wage.                     |  |  |
| 5   | f.   | Defendants violated Labor Code sections 510(a) and Wage Order 5, section      |  |  |
| 6   |  | 3(A) by failing to pay overtime wages.  |  |  |
| 7   | 75. D  | efendants enriched themselves at Plaintiff's expense by committing the        |  |  |
| 8   | aforementioned unfair, unlawful, and/or fraudulent business practices.                 |   |  |  |
| 9   | 76. Plaintiff seeks restitution, a declaratory judgment, and injunctive relief to stop |   |  |  |
| 10  | Defendants' unfair, unlawful, and/or fraudulent business acts and practices.           |   |  |  |
| 11  | <u>PRAYER</u>  |   |  |  |
| 12  | WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:                 |   |  |  |
| 13  | As to the First C  | Cause of Action   |  |  |
| 14  | 1. Fo  | or no less than \$50,000 in damages for loss of past earnings.                |  |  |
| 15  | 2. Fo  | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for loss of future earnings.             |  |  |
| 16  | 3. For no less than \$100,000 in damages for loss of future earnings capacity.         |   |  |  |
| 17  | 4. Fo  | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for damages for past emotional distress. |  |  |
| 18  | 5. Fo  | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for future emotional distress.           |  |  |
| 19  | 6. Fc  | or no less than a \$10,000 civil penalty. (Lab. Code §98.6(b)(3).)            |  |  |
| 20  | 7. Fc  | or punitive damages.  |  |  |
| 21  | As to the Second to Fourth Causes of Action  |   |  |  |
| 22  | 8. Fc  | or no less than \$50,000 in damages for loss of past earnings.                |  |  |
| 23  | 9. Fo  | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for loss of future earnings.             |  |  |
| 24  | 10. Fo   | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for loss of future earnings capacity.    |  |  |
| 25  | 11. Fc   | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for damages for past emotional distress. |  |  |
| 26  | 12. Fo   | or no less than \$100,000 in damages for future emotional distress.           |  |  |
| 27  | 13. Fc   | or punitive damages.  |  |  |
| - 1 | L  |   |  |  |

|     |  | •   |  |  |  |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1   | As to the Fi                               | fth Cause of Action   |  |  |  |
| 2   | 14.  | For no less than \$7,000 in unpaid meal period premiums. (Lab. Code §226.7(c).)     |  |  |  |
| 3   | As to the Sixth Cause of Action            |   |  |  |  |
| 4   | 15.  | 15. For no less than \$7,000 in unpaid rest period premiums. (Lab. Code §226.7(c).  |  |  |  |
| 5   | As to the Seventh Cause of Action          |   |  |  |  |
| 6   | 16.  | For no less than \$7,000 in unpaid minimum wages. (Lab. Code §1194(a).)             |  |  |  |
| 7   | 17.  | For no less than \$7,000 in liquidated damages. (Lab. Code §1194.2(a).)             |  |  |  |
| 8   | 18.  | For reasonable attorney's fees and costs. (Lab. Code §1194(a).)                     |  |  |  |
| 9   | 19.  | For interest at the maximum legal rate of 10% per annum. (Lab. Code §218.6.)        |  |  |  |
| 10  | As to the Eighth Cause of Action           |   |  |  |  |
| 11  | 20.  | For no less than \$10,000 in unpaid overtime wages and interest thereon. (Lab       |  |  |  |
| 12  | Code §1194(a).)                            |   |  |  |  |
| 13  | 21.  | For reasonable attorney's fees and costs. (Lab. Code §1194(a).)                     |  |  |  |
| ا 4 | 22.  | For interest at the maximum legal rate of 10% per annum. (Lab. Code §218.6.)        |  |  |  |
| 15  | As to the Ninth Cause of Action            |   |  |  |  |
| 16  | 23.  | For no less than \$4,000 in actual damages. (Lab. Code §226(e)(1).)                 |  |  |  |
| 17  | 24.  | For no less than \$4,000 in penalties. (Lab. Code §226(e)(1).)                      |  |  |  |
| 18  | 25.  | For reasonable attorney's fees and costs. (Lab. Code §226(e)(1).)                   |  |  |  |
| 9   | As to the Tenth Cause of Action            |   |  |  |  |
| 20  | 26.  | For \$2,880 in waiting-time penalties, i.e., 30 days of waiting-time penalties at a |  |  |  |
| 21  | rate of \$96 per day. (Lab. Code §203(a).) |   |  |  |  |
| 22  | As to the Eleventh Cause of Action         |   |  |  |  |
| 23  | 27.  | For a \$750 penalty. (Lab. Code §226(f).)   |  |  |  |
| 24  | 28.  | For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief. (Lab. Code §226(h).)               |  |  |  |
| 25  | 29.  | For reasonable attorney's fees and costs. (Lab. Code §226(e)(1).)                   |  |  |  |
| 26  | As to the Twelfth Cause of Action          |   |  |  |  |
| 27  | 30.  | For no less than \$30,000 in restitution. (Bus. & Prof. Code §17203.)               |  |  |  |
| 28  | 31.  | For a declaratory judgment. (Bus. & Prof. Code §17203.)                             |  |  |  |
|     | 1  |   |  |  |  |

|    | 1                          |                    |  |  |  |
|----|----------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| 1  | 32.                        | For preliminary a  | and permanent injunctive relief. (Bus. & Prof. Code §17203.) |  |  |
| 2  | As to All Causes of Action |                    |  |  |  |
| 3  | 33.                        | For costs of suit. |  |  |  |
| 4  | 34.                        | For pre-judgment   | t interest to the maximum extent that the law allows.        |  |  |
| 5  | 35.                        | For such other an  | d further relief as the Court may deem proper.               |  |  |
| 6  |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 7  | Date: March                | 30, 2021           | LAW OFFICE OF BEN ROTHMAN                                    |  |  |
| 8  |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 9  |                            |                    | Ben Rothman, Esq.  |  |  |
| 10 |                            |                    | Attorney for Plaintiff, ARYAN ALBA                           |  |  |
| 11 |                            |                    | ARTAIVALDA   |  |  |
| 12 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 13 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 14 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 15 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 16 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 17 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 18 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 19 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 20 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 21 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 22 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 23 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 24 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 25 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 26 |                            |                    |  |  |  |
| 27 |                            |                    |  |  |  |

## **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Ben Rothman, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff,

ARYAN ALBA

Plaintiff demands a jury trial.

Date: March 30, 2021

LAW OFFICE OF BEN ROTHMAN

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL